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PREFACE

There has been a growing demand for information dealing with the economic feasibility of custom cattle feeding. Custom feeding can be an advantage to both the cattle owner and feedlot operator if a fair agreement is reached. Cattle prices are not expected to increase much in the immediate future while costs are likely to increase, resulting in narrow profit margins for the cattle owner and feedlot operator. Therefore, careful planning and use of budgets is imperative if an agreement is to be reached that will be fair and profitable to both parties.

This publication presents a summary of the factors to consider when evaluating a custom feedlot. It also provides two worksheets to be completed with your estimated costs in order to help decide whether to custom feed your cattle or feed them in your farm feedlot. It is also designed to help you decide which custom feedlot has the highest potential of providing you with a profit.
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CUSTOM FEEDING: IS IT AN ECONOMICAL ALTERNATIVE?

Cattle feeding is a high risk enterprise. Some cattle owners feel that having their cattle custom fed provides them with a greater return, and for this reason more cattle owners are considering custom feeding as an alternative. Custom feeding is the practice of putting cattle in a feedlot operated by another individual (or company) and paying them for backgrounding or finishing the cattle, rather than selling the cattle as feeders or feeding them on one's own farm.

The purpose of this article is to provide a basis for comparing custom feedlots and to provide worksheets explaining how a cattle owner can compare custom feeding to feeding cattle on his/her farm.

There are many sound reasons for custom feeding. The advantages and disadvantages to the feedlot owner and cattle owner are outlined below.

ADVANTAGES OF CUSTOM FEEDING

FEEDLOT OWNER

- Increased financial stability: The feedlot owner charges a cost-plus amount for feed, yardage, drugs and bedding. These fees include a profit margin for the feedlot, thereby assuring the feedlot of a profit. The cattle owner assumes the risk of fluctuating market prices and the risk of death loss.

- Allows the feedlot to make use of its own supplies of forage and grain rather than selling these commodities, thus avoiding transportation and marketing costs for these commodities.

- Custom feeding allows feedlot owners to use their feedlot and storage facilities without the capital investment required for them to purchase cattle.

- Economy of scale—because of the high investment cost of a feedlot, the feedlot owner is able to spread total fixed costs over a larger number of cattle
resulting in a decrease in fixed costs (investment cost, depreciation, insurance) per head. Price discounts for volume purchasing of minerals, feed additives, drugs and growth promoters are available. A price advantage may result when large numbers of cattle are sold. Large feedlots may benefit from more specialized management.

**CATTLE OWNER**

- By buying or keeping feeders in the fall and selling them in the spring of the new tax year, taxable income is deferred.
- Flexibility is added to ranch operations by allowing producers to retain ownership of their cattle when a higher market price is anticipated.
- The rancher does not have the fixed investment in facilities so he can choose to feed out his calves in only those years when it appears to be a profitable alternative.
- When feed supplies, labor or facilities are inadequate, the cattle owner can feed out replacement heifers or bulls in a custom feedlot and have them returned later to the breeding herd.
- The custom feedlot may have more bargaining power with packing plants that may result in finished cattle bringing a higher selling price than if the cattle owner marketed them independently.

**DISADVANTAGES OF CUSTOM FEEDING**

**FEEDLOT OWNER**

- The feedlot owner has little control over the condition of cattle received. They may have been hauled long distances in bad weather or be under stress for other reasons. Cattle suffering from stress have a higher probability of getting sick or dying, even under top feedlot management. Similarly, off-type cattle, poor-doers and bred heifers that were supposed to be open increase feed and labor requirements and drag down the feedlot's performance.
• The feedlot owner may experience some difficulty collecting payment for board and care of the cattle from the owner. However, the feedlot owner is protected by The Livery Stable Keepers Act which apparently supersedes The Bank Act. That is, the feedlot owner has the first claim on the animal (or the money, if the animal is sold) before anyone else, including someone holding an existing lien, a chattel mortgage, a bill of sale or other charge or encumbrance, but must follow the exact procedure set out in The Livery Stable Keepers Act.

CATTLE OWNER

• The cattle owner forfeits direct control over feeding practices and management of the cattle but must bear the cost if an animal dies, as well as loss of potential income through inefficient feedlot management.

• Problems can develop in the relationship between the feedlot owner and the cattle owner if a disagreement occurs. It is advised that all agreements be in writing.

MONETARY FACTORS

The economics of beef production depend on costs and returns. Cattle prices are not expected to increase much in the immediate future yet costs are likely to continue escalating. The average producer has little influence on returns, so can best optimize net return by controlling costs. The two budget worksheets at the end of this article provide a basis for cattle owners to compare their own costs to those of a feedlot. The worksheets also provide a basis for determining which feedlot would be most profitable from the cattle owner's point of view.

For the purpose of this article, the expenses incurred when cattle are purchased and fed in a custom feedlot will be divided into three main categories.

• purchase price
• feed expenses
• other expenses
The order in which these topics are discussed is based on their economic impact. The most significant decision is the purchase price paid for the feeder. If the farmer or rancher already owns feeder cattle, the decision to feed them out rather than sell them must be considered as an opportunity cost; that is, the owner has forfeited the opportunity of selling the cattle at market price.

PURCHASE PRICE OF THE FEEDER

If cattle are purchased to put in a custom feedlot, the purchase price the cattleman pays for feeders is a key factor. The lower the purchase price, the higher the potential to make a profit. In a detailed study of cattle feeding costs and returns over a period of 30 to 35 months, it was found that cattle bought with a profit in them went to market with a profit in two out of every three cases.* The simplest way to determine the price that can be paid for feeders is to calculate the break-even purchase price using estimated figures. The average cost of gain that you use should allow for increases in feed prices.

To determine the break-even purchase price for feeder cattle, the following formula can be used:

\[
\text{BEPP} = \frac{(\text{SP} - C) \times \text{SW} + C}{\text{PW}}
\]

BEPP = Break-even purchase price (cents/lb)
SP = Selling price (cents/lb)
C = Total cost of gain (cents/lb) including feed costs, interest, yardage, drugs and veterinary costs and death loss.
SW = Selling weight (lb) adjusted for shrink.
PW = Purchase weight (lb) adjusted for shrink.

* Dodds, Harold, "Improving the Odds", Cattlemen, October, 1983, p. 28.
Example I shows how the purchase price can be calculated when feed costs and other costs are known.

**EXAMPLE I**

**DETERMINING BREAK-EVEN PURCHASE PRICE**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Proposed feeding program:</th>
<th>Type of feeders</th>
<th><em>exotic cross steers</em></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Purchase weight</td>
<td>1650 lb</td>
<td>Sale weight</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1200 lb</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net gain</td>
<td>550 lb</td>
<td>(2.9 lb/day)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Time in feedlot</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>190 + 7 = 197 * days</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**FEED COSTS:**

\[
\text{18.8 lbs feed} \times 197 \text{ days} \times 8.5\, \text{c} = 314.81\, \text{(a)}
\]

**OTHER COSTS:**

(interest, yardage, drugs, death loss, etc.)

\[
\text{108.96\, (b)}
\]

**TOTAL COST:**

\[
(a + b) = 423.77\, \text{(c)}
\]

**Cost/lb. of gain:**

\[
\frac{423.77\, \text{(c)}}{\text{Gain}} = \frac{423.77\, \text{(c)}}{550.0\, \text{lb}} = .77\, \text{(c)}
\]

**BREAK-EVEN PURCHASE PRICE:**

\[
PP = \frac{(SP - C) \times SW + C}{PW}
\]

\[
PP = (\$79.00 - \$77.00) \times 1164.1 \text{ lbs} + \$77.00 \quad \frac{\text{.550 lbs}}{\text{.550 lbs}} = \$805.8\, \text{lb}
\]

* (assume 3% shrink, therefore 7 extra days are required to regain purchase weight).
FEED EXPENSES

One of the most important variables influencing cattle feeding profit is cost of gain. Since feed costs usually represent 70% to 75% of the total cost of gain, a reduction in feed costs has a pronounced impact on cost of gain, as Example II shows.

EXAMPLE II

FEED COSTS AFFECT PROFIT

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of feeders</th>
<th>Exotic Cross Steers</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Purchase weight</td>
<td>650 lbs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sale weight</td>
<td>1200 lbs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net gain</td>
<td>550 lbs (2.9 lb/day)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time in feedlot</td>
<td>190 + 7 = 197 * days</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sale price</td>
<td>79¢/lb</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

FEEDER COST:
Cost of feeder: 650 lbs. @ 75¢/lb = $487.50/head

FEED COSTS:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Case A</th>
<th>Case B</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>18.8 lbs feed x 197 days x 6.5¢</td>
<td>204.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18.8 lbs feed x 197 days x 8.5¢</td>
<td>314.81</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

OTHER COSTS:
(interest, yardage, drugs, death loss, etc.) 108.96 108.96

TOTAL COSTS: (feeder, feed, other) 300.59 911.27

EXPECTED PROFIT:

Gross return = sale wt. 1164 lbs x $/lb 79 $919.56 $919.56
Profit/head = gross return - total cost = $919.56 - $300.59 = $618.97 $811.27

* (assume 3% shrink, therefore 10 extra days are required to regain purchase weight).
In Example II, the 2¢/lb difference in feed cost makes Case A fourteen times more profitable.

When analyzing the alternative of custom feeding cattle, the variability in feed prices charged by different feedlots is often confusing. The following table represents the variability in feed costs as shown in the custom rate survey carried out by Alberta Agriculture in June, 1984.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Feed</th>
<th>Range</th>
<th>Average</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Barley</td>
<td>5.0 to 7.12¢/lb</td>
<td>5.84¢/lb</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Milling</td>
<td>15 to 43¢/bu</td>
<td>24.1¢/bu</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Silage</td>
<td>1.15 to 3.5¢/lb</td>
<td>1.76¢/lb</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minerals</td>
<td>9 to 12¢/lb</td>
<td>10.5/lb</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Barley

The variability in barley prices between feedlots can be explained by several factors: barley quality, moisture content, whether or not the milling cost is included in the barley price and the varying price mark-ups the custom feedlots charge.

Barley quality can vary a great deal. Average digestible energy content of Alberta grown barley is 1.66 megacalories (mcal) per pound on a dry-matter basis and average protein content is 12 per cent. Feedlot owners can assure their customers of top quality rations by balancing rations carefully and testing them on a regular basis at Alberta Agriculture's Soil and Feed Testing Laboratory or at private testing laboratories.

If the barley in feeder cattle rations is being paid for on a weight-measurement basis, (i.e. cents per pound), comparison of prices should be made on a dry-matter basis of barley. Assuming nutrient quality of barley is equal, which alternative in the following example is the best feed for your money?
**EXAMPLE III**

**MOISTURE CONTENT OF BARLEY AFFECTS PROFIT**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Percent Moisture</th>
<th>Barley Matter</th>
<th>Price/ Pound</th>
<th>Calculation</th>
<th>Price/ MCcal</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>14% dry</td>
<td>86%</td>
<td>5.35¢</td>
<td>5.35¢/lb×(1.66 mcal x .86 DM)</td>
<td>3.748¢/mcal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15% tempered</td>
<td>85%</td>
<td>5.28¢</td>
<td>5.28¢/lb×(1.66 mcal x .85 DM)</td>
<td>3.742¢/mcal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25% reconstituted</td>
<td>75%</td>
<td>5.21¢</td>
<td>5.21¢/lb×(1.66 mcal x .75 DM)</td>
<td>4.185¢/mcal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30% high moisture</td>
<td>70%</td>
<td>5.00¢</td>
<td>5.00¢/lb×(1.66 mcal x .70 DM)</td>
<td>4.303¢/mcal</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In Example III the high moisture barley costs the least per pound on an "as fed basis" but it is the most expensive when price per mcal is calculated. Given the above data the dry barley is the least expensive per mcal and, therefore, the best buy.

**Silage**

When silage costs are compared between different custom feedlots, variations also occur. As shown in Table 1, silage prices from the survey varied from 1.15¢ to 3.5¢ per pound. These prices reflect "as fed" values. To find the best silage value for your money, a dry-matter calculation must be done similar to Example III. In order to do this calculation correctly, you must know the nutrient content, moisture content and the price of the silage.

**Other Ration Ingredients**

Minerals, supplements and feed additives are usually added to make balanced rations, and may be charged for separately or as part of the mark-up on barley or silage. When charged for separately these ingredients cost 9¢ to 12¢/lb, or about 7.5% of the total ration price.

An important way to decrease feed costs and increase profit is to improve feed efficiency. When comparing feed efficiency in different feedlots, it is necessary to compare two different pens of cattle. These cattle should be of similar breed, age and sex, should be fed in similar weather conditions and should be fed rations of similar nutrient density.
OTHER EXPENSES

Interest

The cattle owner must also consider interest on the feeder and feed. In the farm feedlot budget example (Worksheet II) at the end of this article, interest is calculated on feeders and feed even if the farmer owns them. This interest is an opportunity cost rather than a cash cost. It is the amount of interest that could have been earned if the cattle and feed had been sold.

Buying large or top quality stock may cost more, and may result in a higher interest charge; however, this higher interest cost is offset by a shorter feeding period. When feed prices are high and feeder prices are low, it may be more feasible to purchase larger stock. The reverse of this situation also holds true.

Yardage

Yardage is the fee charged by the feedlot owner for the use of the feedlot facilities. Yardage in custom feedlots currently ranges from 0 to 20¢/head/day with the most common fee being 13¢ to 16¢/head/day. For the feedlot owner, this fee pays for feedlot maintenance, utilities and all or a portion of labor expenses, depending on the employee/animal ratio. Yardage may also include part of the interest expense that a feedlot has to pay.

Drugs and Veterinary Expenses

Drugs and veterinary expenses are charged to feedlot customers in many different ways. To reduce the amount of bookkeeping required, a daily rate, for example, 1¢/head/day may be charged. The disadvantage of this system is that a pen of healthy cattle is charged the same amount as a pen of cattle with several sick animals requiring more care. More often processing fees are charged a standard amount on a per head basis (i.e. $7.50/head) and drugs are charged at cost plus 10% to 30%. The system that requires the most bookkeeping is where each drug and each treatment is charged for separately; for example, vaccination $1, branding $1,
castration $2, dehorning $1, implanting $2.85, etc. According to the survey, the most commonly used system is the standard charge per head plus a 10% to 30% mark-up on drugs. In the example, a 10% mark-up is assumed.

Also, the manager of the feedlot you consider should have a complete knowledge of implants, Rumensin, MGA, warble control and wormers, and be willing to use them on your cattle.

Death Loss

The risk of death loss is usually assumed by the cattle owner. Death loss in the feedlots surveyed in 1984 varied from 0.75% to 2.25% for calves and from 0.5% to 0.8% for yearlings. When evaluating a custom feedlot, look for one that has a health program supervised by a consulting veterinarian. This will reduce the risk of death losses.

TOTAL EXPENSES

When all costs are considered, a minimum total cost per pound of gain is desirable only if rates of gain are not sacrificed in order to reduce costs. Total cost/lb of gain in the feedlots surveyed ranged from 43¢ to 98¢/lb with the most common price being 50¢ to 65¢/lb.

NON-MONETARY FACTORS

In addition to comparing prices of inputs, experienced cattle owners compare many other factors before choosing a custom feedlot. When they visit the feedlot some of the things they do are:

- Ask to see the five best, the five worst and five average close-out sheets for the last year. All lots have a few bad pens so this will give you a more realistic picture. Talk to present customers about their results.
• Check out the status of the feedlot you plan to do business with. Watch for management and ownership changes. Your banker should be able to help you check out the financial stability of the lot.

• Check out the feedlot's ability to market your fat cattle. How many buyers can you expect to bid on your cattle? What is the feedlot's reputation for quality of finish? Will packers buy on the weights out of the feedlot? Is the feedlot large enough to have a marketing advantage? How far is the lot from packing plants?

• Assess the physical appearance of the feedlot with regard to pen space per head, adequate drainage, width of concrete in front of the feedbunk, protection from high winds, etc.

• Try to feed the kind of cattle the feedlot specializes in. About one-half of the feedlots surveyed did not specialize in either backgrounding or fattening, however, specializing may improve efficiency.

• Look for a feedlot owner-manager that you can talk to. Good communication between the cattle owner and the feedlot manager can only make for a better relationship.

• Performance guarantees can be found, but will usually cost the cattle owner more because guarantees take the risk off the cattle owner and place them on the feedlot.

Worksheets I and II can help you to compare the alternative of custom feeding cattle (Worksheet I) to feeding cattle on one's own farm (Worksheet II). Worksheet I can also be used when comparing different custom feedlots. By completing one copy of Worksheet I for each feedlot being considered, the feedlot with the greatest profit potential can be selected. Both worksheets are calculated on a per head basis.
Although it is difficult to accurately determine all factors in a cattle feeding decision, it is still a useful exercise to estimate which alternative is more profitable. The example in Worksheet I and II compares the cost of custom feeding cattle at a feedlot with feeding the cattle out on one's own farm.

In both worksheets, the cost of a 650 lb feeder is 75¢/lb, resulting in a total value of $487.50.

In Worksheet I, the quantity and price of each ration were estimated by the cattle owner and the feedlot manager. Total feed expenses were estimated at $306.90 per head in Worksheet I, while in Worksheet II the cattleman estimated total feed expenses would be $261.40, which is 12% less than the custom feedlot feed expenses. This allows for the custom feedlot's 12% mark-up on feed used in this example. In both worksheets it was assumed that ration 1 was fed for 90 days and ration 2 was fed for 107 days. Since feed charges can vary from month-to-month, a small margin should be allowed for feed price fluctuations. In some instances a feedlot may quote an approximate total feed expense, thus eliminating the step-by-step feed calculation that is shown in the example.

Variable expenses in Worksheet I and II amounted to $115.74 and $156.60 respectively. The yardage charge in the custom feedlot budget (Worksheet I) is comparable with the facilities, machinery and labor expenses that are charged in the farm feedlot budget (Worksheet II).

Drugs and veterinary expenses in Worksheet I are 10% higher than in Worksheet II to allow for a 10% feedlot mark-up. Similarly, bedding in the custom feedlot budget is marked up by 12%.

Farm feedlots are usually too small to attract enough buyers to assure the cattle owner of a competitive market price. Therefore, the finished cattle are shipped to a terminal market. The marketing expense in Worksheet II covers transportation expense to the terminal market as well as commission, yardage,
Hartford insurance, brand inspection, Alberta Cattle Commission fees, etc.

Fixed expenses (investment cost, depreciation, insurance) appear on Worksheet II at a total cost of $15.77/head. The increase in investment cost that has occurred over the past four years is taken into consideration by adding 20% to the investment cost shown in the 1980 Alberta Agriculture publication "A Consensus of Cost and Returns For a 200 Head Farm Feedlot, Olds District". Since the worksheets are calculated on a "per head" basis, the investment cost of $19,710 is divided by 200 head of cattle. Although fixed expenses also occur on a custom feedlot, they do not appear on Worksheet I because they are paid through mark-ups on feed, bedding and drugs and occasionally through yardage.

Total expenses for Worksheet I and II are $910.14 and $921.27 respectively. This is the sum of the feeder cost, feed expenses and other expenses.

The budget sheet can also be used to calculate the break-even purchase price of feeders. If the total calculated expenses from Worksheet I and II are divided by the sale weight of the finished animal, the break-even purchase price is the result. In the example the break-even purchase price is $78.19/cwt on Worksheet I and $79.15/cwt on Worksheet II.

The expected profit can be calculated by subtracting the total expenses from the gross returns. In the example, Worksheet I shows a profit of $9.42/head while Worksheet II shows a loss of $1.71/head. The feeding situation used is unique and is only for illustration purposes. Given different costs and returns this result could be reversed. In order to make a proper analysis for your situation, you must use your own cost figures.

In order to compare the alternative of custom feeding cattle to feeding cattle in your own farm feedlot and to compare one custom feedlot with others, many
variables need to be considered. However, since the average producer can have little influence on selling prices, the best way to optimize net returns is by controlling cost variables. The three cost variables that can influence profitability of cattle feeding the most are the price paid for feeders, feed expenses and other expenses as explained in more detail in the body of this article. Because these expenses are calculated in different ways from feedlot to feedlot, and from farm to farm, an equitable base has been developed so price comparison is possible between custom feedlots and between a custom feedlot and a farm feedlot. The equitable base that has been developed is in the form of the two budgeting worksheets. By completing a copy of Worksheet I with approximate estimates from each custom feedlot you are considering, it is possible to select the feedlot with the highest potential of making a profit. Also by completing a copy of Worksheet I with approximate estimates and comparing it to Worksheet II, which has been completed using your own farm feedlot costs, you can select the alternative which is most likely to yield a profit.

The worksheets show an example whereby a profit would be incurred if the cattle were kept in the custom feedlot and a loss would be suffered if they remained in the farmer's own feedlot. THIS IS AN EXAMPLE ONLY. The only way to select the most profitable alternative for your situation is to complete the worksheets using your cost figures.
WORKSHEET I  CUSTOM CATTLE FEEDING BUDGET (PER HEAD)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of feeders</th>
<th>ex/off cross</th>
<th>steers</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Purchase weight</td>
<td>650 lb</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sale weight</td>
<td>1200 lb</td>
<td>* Sale price 79¢/lb</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net gain</td>
<td>550 lb (2.9 lb/day)</td>
<td>Time in feedlot 190 + 7 = 197 days.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

A. COST OF FEEDER: 650 lbs. @ 75¢/lb = $487.50 $487.50

B. FEED EXPENSES:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ration 1</th>
<th>Grain 24.25 bu. @ $2.80/bu. = $67.90</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Supplement</td>
<td>47 lb @ $1.10/lb. = $51.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hay</td>
<td>1.63 ton @ $110.00/ton = $180.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Silage</td>
<td>1 ton @ $ = $</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ration 2</td>
<td>Grain 42 bu. @ $2.80/bu. = $117.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Supplement</td>
<td>100 lb @ $1.10/lb. = $110.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hay</td>
<td>0.75 ton @ $35.20/ton = $26.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Silage</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pasture days $ $ ______________/day = $2.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Salt and Minerals</td>
<td>$4.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>Rumensin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total feed expenses $306.90 $306.90</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

C. OTHER VARIABLE EXPENSES:

| Transportation to feedlot | $7.50 |
| Yardage 197 days x 14¢/head/day | $27.58 |
| Drugs and veterinarian 8% $7.50/head | $7.50 |
| Death Loss 1.5% x $487.50 feeder cost | $7.31 |
| Bedding 3 lbs x 197 days x 2.25¢/lb | $13.96 |
| Marketing costs (transportation, commission, etc.) | $0.00 (Fedex Feedlot) |
| Interest on feeders $487.50 x 15% x 3 yrs | $39.47 |
| Interest on feeding expense $306.90 x 15% x 3 yrs | $46.42 |
| Total other expenses $115.74 $115.74 |

D. TOTAL EXPENSE (feeder, feed, other) (A+B+C) $910.14

E. EXPECTED PROFIT:

| Sale wt. 1164 lbs. x expected sale price $0.79/lb. = $919.56 |
| Gross return $919.56 - Total expenses $910.14 = per head $9.42 |

F. BREAK-EVEN SALE PRICE:

| Total expense sale weight less 3% shrink = per lb. $781.9 |
| $910.14 ÷ 1164 |

* (assume 3% shrink, therefore 7 extra days required to regain purchase weight).
# WORKSHEET I CUSTOM CATTLE FEEDING BUDGET (PER HEAD)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of feeders</th>
<th>Purchase weight</th>
<th>Sale weight</th>
<th>* Sales price</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Net gain</th>
<th>Time in feedlot</th>
<th>days</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### A. COST OF FEEDER:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Weight</th>
<th>@</th>
<th>=</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>lbs.</td>
<td>@</td>
<td>=</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### B. FEED EXPENSES:

- **Ration 1**
  - Grain ______ bu. @ $ ______/bu. = $
  - Supplement ______ lb. @ $ ______/lb. = $
  - Hay ______ ton @ $ ______/ton = $
  - Silage ______ ton @ $ ______/ton = $
- **Ration 2**
  - Grain ______ bu. @ $ ______/bu. = $
  - Supplement ______ lb. @ $ ______/lb. = $
  - Hay ______ ton @ $ ______/ton = $
  - Silage ______ ton @ $ ______/ton = $

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pasture days</th>
<th>$</th>
<th>______/day =</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Salt and Minerals</td>
<td>$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Total feed expenses $ ______ $ ______

### C. OTHER VARIABLE EXPENSES:

- Transportation to feedlot = $ ______
- Yardage ______ days x ______¢/head/day = $ ______
- Drugs and veterinarian @ $ ______/head = $ ______
- Death Loss ______% x $ ______ feeder cost = $ ______
- Bedding ______ lbs x ______ days x ______¢/lb = $ ______
- Marketing costs (transportation, commission, etc.) = $ ______
- Interest on feeders ______ x ____% x ____ yr = $ ______
- Interest on feeding expense _____ x ____% x ____ yr = $ ______

Total other expenses $ ______ $ ______

### D. TOTAL EXPENSE (feeder, feed, other) (A+B+C) $ ______

### E. EXPECTED PROFIT:

- Sale wt. ______ lbs. x expected sale price $ ______/lb. = $ ______
- Gross return $ ______ - Total expenses $ ______ = per head $ ______

### F. BREAK-EVEN SALE PRICE:

Total expense $ ______ sale weight less 3% shrink = per lb. $ ______
### WORKSHEET II FARM FEEDLOT BUDGET (PER HEAD)

**Type of feeders:** Exotic Cross Steers  
**Purchase weight:** 650 lb  
**Sale weight:** 1200 lb  
**Net gain:** 550 lb (2.9 lb/day)  
**Time in feedlot:** 190 days

#### A. COST OF FEEDER:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Purchase weight</th>
<th>Cost per lb</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>650 lbs. @ 75¢/lb</td>
<td>$487.50</td>
<td>$487.50</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### B. FEED EXPENSES:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ration</th>
<th>Grain</th>
<th>Supplement</th>
<th>Hay</th>
<th>Silage</th>
<th>Total Cost per ton</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>22.5 bu. @ $2.46/bu.</td>
<td>90 lb @ $0.09/lb.</td>
<td>.59 ton @ $96.80/ton</td>
<td></td>
<td>$55.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>42 bu. @ $2.46/bu.</td>
<td>100 lb @ $0.09/lb.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$103.32</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### C. OTHER VARIABLE EXPENSES:

- **Facilities expenses (utilities & maintenance):** $2.35  
- **Machinery expenses (fuel, lubrication, repairs):** $4.31  
- **Drugs and veterinarian:** $6.75  
- **Death Loss:** 1.5% x $487.50 = $7.31  
- **Bedding:** 3 lbs x 190 days x $1.98/lb = $11.29  
- **Marketing costs:** $26.12  
- **Interest on feeders:** $487.50 x 15% x $1% = $33.06  
- **Interest on feeding expense:** $261.40 x 15% x $1% = $20.41  
- **Labor:** 8 hrs/head x $5.00/hr = $40.00

#### D. FIXED EXPENSES:

- **Interest on facilities & machinery:** $19,710 x 15% x 1 yrs. / 200 head = $14.78  
- **Depreciation on facilities & machinery:** $19,710 x 10% / 200 head = $0.99

Total fixed expenses = $15.77

#### E. TOTAL EXPENSE: (feeder, feed, variable & fixed) (A+B+C+D) $921.27

#### F. EXPECTED PROFIT:

- **Sale wt.** 1164 lbs. x expected sale price $ .79/lb. = $919.56

Gross return (F) $919.56 - Total expenses (E) $921.27 = per head $-1.71

#### G. BREAK-EVEN SALE PRICE:

Total expense / sale weight less 3% shrink = per lb. $ .7915

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Total expense</th>
<th>Sale weight</th>
<th>Shrink</th>
<th>Per lb.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$921.27</td>
<td>1164 lbs.</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>$0.7915</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Type of feeders**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Purchase weight</th>
<th>Sale weight</th>
<th>Net gain</th>
<th>Time in feedlot days</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**A. COST OF FEEDER:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of feeders</th>
<th>Weight</th>
<th>Price per unit</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**B. FEED EXPENSES:**

- **Ration 1**
  - Grain
  - Supplement
  - Hay
  - Silage

- **Ration 2**
  - Grain
  - Supplement
  - Hay
  - Silage

- Pasture
- Salt and Minerals
- Other

**Total feed expenses**

**C. OTHER VARIABLE EXPENSES:**

- Facilities expenses (utilities & maintenance)
- Machinery expenses (fuel, lubrication, repairs)
- Drugs and veterinarian costs
- Death Loss
- Bedding
- Marketing costs
- Interest on feeders
- Interest on feeding expenses
- Labor

**Total other expenses**

**D. FIXED EXPENSES:**

- Interest on facilities & machinery
- Depreciation on facilities & machinery

**Total fixed expenses**

**E. TOTAL EXPENSE: (feeder, feed, variable & fixed) (A+B+C+D)**

**F. EXPECTED PROFIT:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sale weight</th>
<th>Expected sale price</th>
<th>Gross return</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**G. BREAK-EVEN SALE PRICE:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Total expense</th>
<th>Sale weight less 3% shrink</th>
<th>per lb.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
APPENDIX I - GOVERNMENT PUBLICATIONS


3. Feeder Cattle Selling Prices Required to Cover Costs, Alberta Agriculture, Agdex 420/816-1.


APPENDIX II - QUESTIONS? PLEASE CALL US

REGIONAL LIVESTOCK SPECIALISTS AND FARM ECONOMISTS

1. Lethbridge Regional
   Agriculture Centre
   Lethbridge, Alberta
   T1J 4C7...................................................ph. 329-5103
   329-5118

2. Airdrie Regional
   Agriculture Centre
   Bag Service #1
   Airdrie, Alberta
   T0M 0B0...................................................ph. 948-5101
   948-5101

3. Red Deer Regional
   Provincial Building
   3rd Floor, 4920 - 51 Street
   Red Deer, Alberta
   T4N 6K8...................................................ph. 340-5334
   340-5358

4. Vermilion Regional
   Provincial Building
   P.O. Box 330
   Vermilion, Alberta
   T0B 4M0...................................................ph. 853-2811
   853-5381

5. Barrhead Regional
   Provincial Building
   Box 1540
   Barrhead, Alberta
   T0G 0E0...................................................ph. 674-8248
   674-3351

6. Fairview Regional Office
   Provincial Building
   Box 7777
   Fairview, Alberta
   T0H 1L0...................................................ph. 835-2291
   835-2291

FARM BUSINESS MANAGEMENT BRANCH

7. Farm Business Management Branch
   Box 2000
   Olds, Alberta
   T0M 1P0...................................................ph. 556-4240

20